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What people can contribute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information (including expertise)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideas (suggestions or concepts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What people can got out of it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understanding e.g. of rationale for decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Social) energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How

A good conversation looks like this:

You open the conversation up

The topic

time

and then you close it down

the conclusion
The two stages need different methods

For opening up:
e.g. *World Café*
adapted to become *Knowledge Café*

For closing down:
*Crowd Wise*
Crowd Wise

• Mixes discussion and preference voting
• Starts with around six options
• Options are stories, e.g. AFC Wimbledon:
  o “We’re ambitious, so sell out to a sugar daddy”
  o “Stay small and community-based”
• Vote showed priorities were:
1. Fan-ownership
2. Return to Wimbledon from Kingston
3. Ambition
Crowd Wise: reaching consensus